UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America)		
v.)		
CARRETT A JORDAN W) Case No. 4:17CR615 HEA/PLC		
GARRETT A. JORDAN, III)		
Defendant	,		
ORDER OF DETEN	TION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligibility for Detention			
Upon the			
Motion of the Government attorney pursua	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or		
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own	motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),		
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	n is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.		
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
presumption that no condition or combination of contand the community because the following conditions (1) the defendant is charged with one of the formula (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum (b) an offense for which the maximum solution (c) an offense for which a maximum terestant (21 U.S.C. § (21 U.S.C. § 951-971), or Chapter 705 (d) any felony if such person has been controlled (c) of this paragraph, or two	ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): 8 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. 1 term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or 1 term of imprisonment or death; or 1 m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 2 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 2 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or 3 onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs 3 or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses 3 (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal		
 (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv (2) the defendant has previously been convict § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) about 	me of violence but involves: a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and ted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. at would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise ove for which the defendant has been convicted was the pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and		
	lansed since the date of conviction, or the release of the		

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance committed one or more of the following offenses:	
\square (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;	ırs
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	ı of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
Tart III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ing,
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assured.	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: \[\textstyle \text{By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. \[\textstyle \text{By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: \[\textstyle \text{Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong} \] \[\text{Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted} \] \[\text{Prior criminal history} \]	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	re
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	re

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The U.S. Pretrial Services Report that was prepared on January 25, 2018 is incorporated here except that defendant denies any gang membership. Defendant argued for a bond at the detention hearing held on January 26, 2018. He argued that he has no criminal convictions and a supportive family, including his mother who was present in the courtroom. The Pretrial Services Report recommended a bond with extensive conditions of release.
The government recommended detention and put forward law enforcement testimony detailing a partial investigation of defendant and other individuals. This investigation included a review of one of defendant's public Facebook pages, in the name of Rich Porter. The witness authenticated 13 pages of color copies of Facebook postings, photographs and other information allegedly posted by Defendant. See (Gov't 1-2). He and other individuals are shown making hand signals to the camera and posing with firearms and stacks of U.S. currency. He and others are also depicted smoking substances. Additionally, the government submitted a photograph of a collection of firearms and ammunition seized in this case, which includes extended magazine clips.
Defendant does not have regular work. He dropped out of high school, telling the Pretrial Officer that he did not obtain a high school diploma because he was "on the run for 15 months on that case." He admits to daily use of marijuana.

D h Continued substance abuse on bond could interfere with his ability to comply with conditions of release. Thus, he poses a risk of nonappearance.

Defendant also poses a danger to the community. His affinity for posting photographs online with firearms and while smoking possible marijuana is dangerous behavior singularly and in combination. Ongoing substance abuse could also interfere with his ability to comply with conditions of release. He has made statements suggesting that he knowingly avoided prosecution for a "case," and he reportedly spends multiple nights per week at various unknown residences in the St. Louis area. Based on the above, defendant should be detained.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	02/16/2018	/s/Noelle C. Collins
		United States Magistrate Judge